



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,349	09/08/2003	John David Schnabel	DYOUP0216USB	1645
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP Nineteenth Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115-2191			KING, ANITA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>			·			
- <del>-</del> -		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/657,349	SCHNABEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anita M. King	3632			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	September 2003.				
2a)□						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examire The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examire Theorem 1.	s/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See action is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Application  iority documents have been receive  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/869,618</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/8/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa  6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3632

This is the first office action for application number 10/657,349, Cabinet, filed on September 8, 2003. This application is a divisional of application number 09/869,618, filed on March 25, 2002.

# **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/869,618, filed on March 25, 2002.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because Figs. 1 and 5 appear to be exploded views and should be bracketed when on the same sheet as another figure, see MPEP 608.02 and reference number "50" in Fig. 11 appears to be incorrect and should be -- 51--. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The

Art Unit: 3632

replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "39". Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the filing date of application number 09/869,618 is March 25, 2002; on page 4, line 15 (both occurrences), reference number "5" appears to be incorrect and should be --3--; and on page 5, line 12, "25a" should be --25a--.

Art Unit: 3632

Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

# Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 3632

# Claim Objections

Claims 6-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The parent claim, i.e., claim 5 is drawn to a method of hanging a vertical side panel, claims 6-8 further limit structural limitations functional recited in claim 5 rather than limiting the method steps of claim 5 and thus, the claims do not further limit the positively claimed subject matter of the parent claim.

Claims 5 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 5, the phrase --the steps of:-- should be inserted after "comprising" in line 2, also in line 2, "which" should be changed to --wherein the--; and in claim 7, line 2, the recitation of "(49)" appears to be incorrect and should be --(47)--. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the upper end" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the upper extremity" in line 3. There is insufficient

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is allowed.

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the primary reason for the indication of the allowable subject matter in the above mentioned claims are the limitations of a method of hanging a vertical side panel including the steps of engaging a top flange of the side panel, wherein the top flange has a horizontal portion, engaging a horizontal lower flange of the side panel with an upturned hook portion at the lower end of a frame of a cabinet, and wherein the engagement is effected by engaging the hook in the cutout and then slightly raising the side panel while pushing the same inwardly towards the cabinet before lowering the side panel downwardly onto the hook.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent 2,901,781 to Sha'ag
- U.S. Patent 4,201,428 to Johnson
- U.S. Patent 4,560,079 to Eddleston et al.
- U.S. Patent 4,620,684 to Delpercio et al.

Art Unit: 3632

U.S. Patent 5,292,189 to Lau et al.

U.S. Patent 5,570,940 to Maro

U.S. Patent 6,012,791 to Benner et al.

U.S. Patent 6,099,095 to Irace

U.S. Patent 6,223,908 to Kurtsman

U.S. Patent 6,357,610 to Irace

U.S. Patent 6,478,391 to Stoever

U.S. Patent 6,550,879 to Kurrasch et al.

U.S. Patent 6,580,030 to Horne

Japanese Patent 11-261250 to Ouchi

Sha'ag discloses building elements including panels. Johnson discloses a cabinet assembly. Eddleston et al. disclose an equipment enclosure including a frame. Delpercio et al. disclose a support bracket assembly for a cabinet. Lau et al. disclose a sub-panel guide system, for an electrical enclosure. Maro discloses a door system for a doorless storing structure. Benner et al. disclose a switch cabinet with rack and wall elements. Irace discloses an interlocking cabinet system. Kurtsman discloses an adjustable communications equipment dual relay rack. Irace '610 discloses an interlock cabinet system that in its base construction includes two panes assemblies. Stoever discloses a storage cabinet that is relatively light weight. Kurrasch et al. disclose a cabinet having a wall including an inner wall and an outer wall attached to the inner wall. Horne discloses a panel for an electrical cabinet. Ouchi discloses a frame body of a cabinet structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (703) 308-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita M. King / Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

July 26, 2004